COPY.

April 18, 1928.

Dear Ernie:-

Thank you for your letter relative to George Van Dyke. I did not think I was the one to be chairman of the committee as I was not in the closest touch with him although I had run into him now and then of late years. Court is the proper chairman, as he was closer to him personally than I, and had been able to get the data necessary for such a notice.

I will run over to Lincoln University shortly and talk it over with Johnson returning the notice to you for further emendation and correction. The committee then can consist of Court Robinson-Johnson-yourself W.C. W.H. and myself. I will endeavor to see Dr. Johnson this week - and get the material to you in time for printing on the 24th.

Ever cordially yours,

(Signed) Samuel C. Hodge

Class of 1888, Princeton

40TH REUNION—JUNE 15-19, 1928

REUNION COMMITTEE

ARTHUR D. FORST
BENJAMIN VAN D. HEDGES
THOMAS N. McCARTER
JUNIUS S. MORGAN
CHARLES H. WILSON, TRANSPORTATION
48 WEST 59TH ST., NEW YORK
AND
CLASS OFFICERS, EX OFFICIO

CLASS OFFICERS

CHAS. W. McALPIN, PRES.
HOTEL McALPIN, NEW YORK
HOWARD McWILLIAMS, TREAS.
31 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK
BENJ. VAN D. HEDGES,
MEMBER GRADUATE COUNCIL
ERNEST T. CARTER, SEC'Y
115 EAST 69TH ST., NEW YORK

April 19, 1928.

Dear Court:-

You will see by the enclosed prompt reply from Sam Hodge how the matter of the Memorial Committee stands with him. It is evidently up to you to be Chairman and, with your permission, I will prepare the final copy in such form.

With kindest regards,

Ever faithfully yours,

Ernest T. Carter

Dr. W. Courtland Robinson,
Delhi, N. Y.

ETC:B

Class of 1888, Princeton

40TH REUNION—JUNE 15-19, 1928

REUNION COMMITTEE

ARTHUR D. FORST
BENJAMIN VAN D. HEDGES
THOMAS N. McCARTER
JUNIUS S. MORGAN
CHARLES H. WILSON, TRANSPORTATION
48 WEST 59TH ST., NEW YORK
AND
CLASS OFFICERS, EX OFFICIO

CLASS OFFICERS

CHAS. W. McALPIN, PRES.
HOTEL McALPIN, NEW YORK
HOWARD McWILLIAMS, TREAS.
31 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK
BENJ. VAN D. HEDGES,
MEMBER GRADUATE COUNCIL
ERNEST T. CARTER, SEC'Y
115 EAST 69TH ST., NEW YORK

April 18, 1928.

Dear Court:-

Your very welcome note of April 15th was received yesterday, and I do not know how to thank you for your kindness in preparing an obituary notice about George Van Dyke's sudden passing. He had written that he expected to attend our coming Reunion which makes his death seem all the sadder, being taken so abruptly from the midst of his usefulness and activity.

Last week Allie sent me a clipping about G's death, and I wrote that if he would appoint a Committee, I would correspond with them and send the obituary notice to the Alumni Weekly. When I received your note yesterday, I thought that Allie, knowing that I was laid up with a cold, might have communicated with you direct. I telephoned him and he said that he had written to Sam Hodge the day before asking him to act as Chariman of a Memorial Committee and to select the other members. I immediately wrote Sam. and sent him the obituary prepared by you so that he might be saved this labor. Allie suggested that you, Sam and Johnson, with Allie and myself ex officio, might make a suitable Committee.

I am glad to hear that Buck Irvine has had the good taste to ask you to speak at the Class Dinner. I am glad you have raised the question of dinner coats, and I am with you for eliminating them. I will bring the matter up and believe that our last notice to the Class should contain instruction on this and also on dress requested for the baseball game parade, which I suppose will be dark blue or black coats with white trousers.

At present it looks as if about 60 would attend the Reunion which is doing very well for a total of 82 living members.

With kindest regards and looking forward to seeing you before very long,

Ever faithfully yours,

Ernest T. Carter

ETC:B

659 Newark Avenue

WESTMINSTER PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH

ELIZABETH, N. J.

March 22, 1928.

Rev. W. Cortlandt Robinson, D.D.
Delhi, N.Y.

My dear Robinson:

My report to the Committee of Eleven Wednesday caused considerable of a sensation. I appeared before them in two capacities,- as a member of the Directors' committee and as chairman of the Joint Committee. In explaining the reason why the Directors felt that nothing could be done, I said that inasmuch as the Trustees seemed to feel that the Directors were incompetent and therefore were going to take over the control of the institution, we felt that we could not concur in such a point of view. There was considerable buzzing among the Trustees present, and W.P.Stevenson arose - the only member of our joint committee present besides myself - and said that no one had made such a statement. They finally expunged that part of my remarks from the record. I did not care, as I had said what I wanted to say, but I am perfectly willing to have the question of veracity between myself and W. P. Stevenson stand without formal proof.

The Committee of Eleven dismissed the conferees from both boards early in the morning, and later, I hear, drew up their report according to preliminary suggestions, and all signed except Warfield. He will make a minority report. The Board is to consist of 33 members, 11 each to be chosen by the two boards, and 11 from the Church at large. We now await the printed report. Thank you for your help in the committee.

Sincerely,

L. B. Crane

LBC/SRM

Class of 1888, Princeton

40TH REUNION—JUNE 15-19, 1928

REUNION COMMITTEE

ARTHUR D. FORST
BENJAMIN VAN D. HEDGES
THOMAS N. McCARTER
JUNIUS S. MORGAN
CHARLES H. WILSON, TRANSPORTATION
48 WEST 59TH ST., NEW YORK
AND
CLASS OFFICERS, EX OFFICIO

CLASS OFFICERS

CHAS. W. McALPIN, PRES.
HOTEL McALPIN, NEW YORK
HOWARD McWILLIAMS, TREAS.
31 NASSAU ST., NEW YORK
BENJ. VAN D. HEDGES,
MEMBER GRADUATE COUNCIL
ERNEST T. CARTER, SEC'Y
115 EAST 69TH ST., NEW YORK

February 14, 1928.

My dear Court:-

Your good letter of February 13th is at hand, and I thank you for your check $25. to the order of Howard McWilliams which I am forwarding to him.

I thank you also for your replies to the various questions. I sincerely hope that you may find it possible to attend the Reunion. We already have promising replies from about forty members and we hope the number will get up to at least fifty.

Allie McAlpin has gone south for the remainder of the cold weather. As you may have heard, he has not been in first rate health since last spring and has been obliged to cut down on a good many of his activities.

With kindest regards and hoping sincerely that you may be able to shape your plans toward Princeton in June,

Ever faithfully yours,

Ernest T. Carter

Rev. Dr. W. Courtland Robinson,
Delhi, N. Y.

ETC:B

EDITORIAL ROOMS
THE PRESBYTERIAN
1217 MARKET STREET, PHILADELPHIA

73 Stockton

My dear Robinson:

the author

Thanks for the pamphlets. Enjoyed reading the enclosed letter. Note that he dosn't like the Presbyterian a little bit and that he must think you are in bad company in having anything to do with such asheet, if he knows you are a contributor. Was glad to learn my suggestions were not unacceptable even if some did not seem altogether wise. Possibly others have said enough about the shortcomings of the committee of five. Since Crane approves I have little doubt about getting the committee to finance the pamphlet. Would go ahead proxmptly with the matter of getting it ready for mailing with the thought of sending it out not later than March I - a little earlier would do no harm in my judgment. Am sure the pamphlet will do great good and that comparstively few will think badly of its author. Will be glad to have ~~xxx~~ copy of the pamphlet as revised as soon as some are printed. The address of Mr. W.A. Harbison is Graybar Building, New York City. Fear there is no much truth in what you say about two of our Pittsburgh directors.

Cordially yours

Feb. I, 1928

Samuel G. Craig

EDITORIAL ROOMS

THE PRESBYTERIAN

1217 MARKET STREET, PHILADELPHIA

73 Stockton Street
Princton, N.J.

Dear Dr. Robinson:

I received the booklet a day or two ago and was about to ackowledge its receipt when I received your letter by this morning's mail.

I am sure it is fitted to help our cause in the eyes of a great many people though it would have been more to my liking if it was a little more vigorous at one or two points. My own feeling is that there is need both of articles like your own and those of Frank Stevenson.

I will do what I can to get the "Princeton Defense Committee" to sponsor it financially. I would suggest that you send copies to Mr. W.A. Harbison, Graybar Building, New York City and Rev. Frank E. Simmons, 356 Henry St, Brooklyn, N.Y. Of course I will not reveal its authorship.

No doubt it will be easy to find an addressing Company. Have you secured the envelopes? An addressing company would insert and seal and mail if desired. It would probably cost about $5.00 a thousand.

I think Alexander's statement very good though I have suggeste two or three minor changes. My feeling is that only a strong statement on the part of the majority group of the directors will prove effective, especially as it seems to be that our only hope is to arouse the Church to a realization of the fact that something important is involved. It does not seem contradictory to me to say, however, that I think you xxx booklet will prove most effectiv in getting votes.

Have you any funds already available for sending the booklet?

Jan. 20, 1928

Cordially yours

Samuel G Craig

ERNEST T. CARTER
115 EAST 69TH ST.
NEW YORK

January 4, 1938.

Dear Court:-

Yesterday I received a letter from Mrs. Pedro Rioseco informing me for the first time of Peter's death on May 14, 1937 after a two weeks' illness from cerebral grippe. I was abroad from March to July or news of this sad event might have reached me before. I am sending a short note of it to the Alumni Column now.

I telephoned Allie about it yesterday, and found that he was equally ignorant of Peter's death. He suggested that I write to you and ask if you would be good enough to prepare an obituary for the Alumni Weekly, adding such names to your Committee as you think appropriate.

I often think with pleasure of the short visit we had with Hector Cowan three years ago when we also had a glimpse of you and the beautiful country in which you and Hector have the privilege of living.

With best wishes for a Happy New Year, I remain

Sincerely yours,

Ernest T. Carter

Rev. Dr. W. Courtland Robinson,
Delhi, N. Y.

ETC/B

ERNEST T. CARTER
115 EAST 69TH ST.
NEW YORK

January 13, 1928.

My dear Court:-

Your letter of January 12th enclosing obituary notice of Pedro Rioseco has just been received, and I thank you most heartily for attending to the whole matter so completely and sympathetically, even to getting together your Committee. It seems very fitting that you four who were fellow students for seven years should be members of the Committee. I am sending the obituary to the Alumni Weekly.

In spite of the fact that it seems to be sad events which bring us into touch occasionally, it is always a pleasure to hear from you, and I wish we might meet oftener. Please remember the proverbial latch string which is hanging out on this block.

Ever faithfully yours,

Ernest T. Carter

Rev. W. Courtland Robinson,
Delhi, N. Y.

ETC/B

EDITORIAL ROOMS
THE PRESBYTERIAN
1217 MARKET STREET, PHILADELPHIA

73 Stockton Street
Princeton, N.J.

Dear Dr. Robinson:

I was away from home from Dec. 20-23 with the result that I did not get your letter of the 2oth until last night. Hence my delay in replying.

I think the estimate a very reasonable one with the added advantage of your being able to see the articles through the press personally.

My feeling is that you should "go ahead - full steam" as I am confident the articles will help our cause with a great many. While I think it possible to go too far toward "our friends the enemy" - the liberals used different tactics a few years ago when they were the under-dogs with much success - yet I am sure your articles will do much good and probably win more votes than the more drastic articles. Personally I think there is a place for both.

While I have nothing more certain to say about financing the proposition I am sure we will be able to get the money. Did you write Dr. McEwan? It might be well to order #10000 as a starter with the expectation or hope that the general Committee would authorize sending them to the elders.

Dec. 24, 1927

Sincerely yours
Samuel G. Craig

EDITORIAL ROOMS

THE PRESBYTERIAN

1217 MARKET STREET, PHILADELPHIA

73 Stockton Street
Princeton,N.J.

Dear Dr.Robinson:

I appreciated the privelege of meeting with you yesterday and of hearing the reading of your articles as corrected.

I trust you will take immediate steps to find out what it will cost to put them in printed form suitable for distribution. I am disposed to think you are right in thinking that you can get the work done more cheaply at your local printers. If their estimate,however,should prove too high or if they are not in a position to handle the work satisfactorily I would be glad to get an estimate from some Philadelphia firm,if desired.

I think also that you are right in thinking it would be best to send out your articles independently. I will do what I can to help finance the matter.Possibly I will be able to use some of the general funds for that purpose after consulting with the givers - without telling them anything about the authorship of the articles. I think it would be well to write Dr.McEwan. I am sure there are those who would give to such a purpose without any further guarantee than that Dr.McEwan approved. At any rate if our plans in general mature in any adequate way,I am confident the matter can be financed.

Dec.14,1927

Very sincerely yours

Samuel G. Craig

EDITORIAL ROOMS

THE PRESBYTERIAN

1217 MARKET STREET, PHILADELPHIA

73 Stockton Street

Princeton, N.J.

Rev.W.Courtland Robinson,D.D.
Delhi,New York

Dear Dr.Robinson:

Your letter of yesterday just received. Would be glad to meet with you and Dr.McEwan and Dr.Laird and any others it might be thought wise to invite on the 13th of December but think it would be better for you or McEwan or Laird to call the meeting. The suggestion certainly has my approval.

I have been having considerable response to my suggestion of a Fund to use to inform the Church and am beginning to feel confident that the money can be raised. Maitland Alexander was in Princeton on Tuesday last and prepared I think to go ahead vigorously. A Committee of Fifteen has been appointed by the group associated with Dr.Buchanan in New York to help save Princeton. Other consideration lead me to think that the situation is far from hopeless. I think we need legal advice but I think also that ultimately only an appeal to the Church at large will save us. Because if they can continue to get Genearal Assembly approval I am sure they will find a way to get around the legal difficulties.

I think there will be no difficulty in finding a place for the meeting where we would be free from disturbance

Dec 6, 1927

Sincerely yours Samuel G. Craig

EDITORIAL ROOMS

THE PRESBYTERIAN

1217 MARKET STREET, PHILADELPHIA

73 Stockton Street
Princeton, N.J.

Dear Dr.Robinson:

Through Dr.Laird I have had the privelege of reading your comments on the Princeton Seminary situation.

I have read them with much pleasure and with almost complete approval and hope very much that they will be printed and distributed widely. I am confident their effect would be wholsome.

I am disposed to think it would be better to print them in a single pamphlet rather than as a number of leaflets. Not only do I think that such a method would be most effectual but it would greatly reduce the cost, especially in the way of mailing and postage.

As I judge that the papers are not yet in final form I suppose you will not object if I make bold to offer a suggestion or two. I think it would add to the value of the series if you were to add one dealing with the Report adopted by the last Assembly as I think that a treatment of the omissions misrepresentations and such like of the Report along the lines and in the spirit of the other papers would help much. I wish also that in your good-natured way you would call attention to the fact that it is only fair in view of Section 3 of Article I of the Plan that the Committee content themselves with presenting a new Plan with specifications to the next Assembly. You mention this but as it seems to me the only fair as well as the only legal thing to do I would like to have you stress it somewhat.

I confess it seems to me that you manifest too much of a disposition to treat the differences between Erdman and Machen, for instance, as purely personal and as due almost wholly to misunderstanding. Perhaps that is an

EDITORIAL ROOMS

THE PRESBYTERIAN

1217 MARKET STREET, PHILADELPHIA

excellent fault, if I may so speak, in view of the circumstances but at least it seems to me that while there is truth in your representation it obscures what seems to me a deeper difference. Personally I am disposed to think there is warrant for charging Erdman with doctrinal indifferentism - not that I think yo ought to do so in your papers.

I have noticed what seems to me a few more or less inaccurate statements. In the paper "Princeton Seminary" your statement about the Directors is not quite accurate. In 1811 the Board of Directors consisted of 14 ministers and 6 elders (Plan p.19). In "A Content for Moderatorship" I think you attach a little too much significance to a certain "newspaper article" - much as I deplored that article. At the opening of "Remedy" I would not say "Many understood Chairman Thompson to say at the last Assembly that such a plan might be lawfully rejected by the Assembly of 1928". What I understood him to say was rather that the Committee would be free to present other than a one Board Control. It goes without saying it seems to me that the next Assembly has the legal right to reject any plan that may be presented. At the bottom of that page I think you could say not only "He has said so in private" but publicly as well in view of his letter to me recently printed in the Presbyterian. In "The Committee of Eleven" it seems to me you give too exclusive credit to Dr. Laird for securing "the less drastic resolutions". I confess I didnt altogether like Dr. Lairds speech at the Assembly and so think it would be better to delete that sentence. Were your papers less excellent I wouldn't think of calling attention to such, even in my judgment, minor matters.

It seem to me you have done a difficult job well and I am sure that if distributed these papers will do great good.

Nov. 29, 1927

Sincerely yours

Samuel G. Craig

EDITORIAL ROOMS
THE PRESBYTERIAN
1217 MARKET STREET, PHILADELPHIA

[illegible]
Princeton.N.J.

[illegible]

I have read Dr.Robinson's [illegible] with much interest and with almost complete approval.

[illegible]

I expect to [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Thanking you for having put them in my hands, I am

November 29, 1927

Sincerely yours

Samuel G. Craig

Delhi, N. Y.
November 30, 1927.

Rev. Samuel G. Craig, D.D.
Princeton, N. J.

Dear Dr. Craig:

You may remember we spoke of circularizing ministers and elders. In my leisure I have written the enclosed which may or may not meet your approval. Drs. Laird and McEwan have seen part and approved in the main. The latter thought Dr. Machen and his friends will not approve the attitude toward Drs. Stevenson and Erdman. Maybe so. But the main thing is, do these chapters tell the truth? It is the truth that we must find out and spread abroad. Further we are trying to win support. I do not believe we can do so by charges as to motives and integrity. The thing that hurt us is the widely prevalent and mistaken notion that Machen et alii are narrow and intolerant whereas the others though orthodox are full of sweetness. Some attitudes and utterances have given support to that mistake.

My only desire is to have our side presented fully, fairly in a way to enlist interest and support. To my mind we must rise above even our convictions as to our opponents, to accomplish this. You suggested in a recent issue that it is necessary to go to ministers and elders to have justice done and Princeton saved.

I submit these to you with no pride of authorship but in a desire to help. If they have any merit part may be used. A conference in Philadelphia with you, Laird, McEwan and me present might lead to a profitable result. I can be there so far as I now know, December 6th or 13th. I think McEwan will come. If it meets your approbation, wire us and have a place for meeting, undisturbed. Please preserve the copy, I have no other.

Cordially yours,

W. Courtland Robinson

A RELIGIOUS
WEEKLY FOR
THE FAMILY

The PRESBYTERIAN

IT HAS A
NATION-WIDE
CIRCULATION

TWELVE-SEVENTEEN MARKET ST. PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

Dear Dr.Robinson:

Thank you for your letter and enclosure of Sept.12th. I have given the latter to the printer and no doubt it will appear either this week or next. I quite agree with you that the Church is too much in the hands of City ministers.

No doubt you are right about the sympathies of Dr.Speer. I am not so sure,however,that the plan of reorganization of the Seminary in the minds of Dr.Thompson et al will be adopted. No doubt it is highly probable but I think we have a fighting chance to prevent it. It seems to me that we have nothing to lose and everything to gain by fighting it with every proper means at our command.

Sincerely

Sept.20,1927

Samuel G. Craig

Fraser, N. Y.
July 23,1927.

Miss Emily Johnson,
Delhi, N. Y.

Dear Miss Johnson:

It is my understanding of our Poor Law amended in 1926 in relation to the maintenance of the families of deceased Civil War Veterans, that it provides in mandatory form the following: "That a member of the family of a deceased veteran shall not be sent to any alms house, but shall be relieved at their home."

The procedure for this relief is for the Town Board to appropriate such sums of money as may be necessary for that purpose, the sums of money are to be drawn upon by the Commander, or Treasurer of the G. A. R. or various other associations of veterans or Legions and applied to the purpose of such maintenance. A practical suggestion therefore, the American Legion thru its Relief Committee, should make a recommendation that relief be given in the particular case. It is also necessary for such Commander to file with the Town Clerk a notice that the post intends to undertake the supervision of relief, together with an undertaking for the faithful and honest discharge of the duty.

It would seem to me that steps as outlined above should be taken and I am writing to the Commander of the American Legion, as well as my esteemed pastor, Mr. Robinson.

As to a pension from the Federal Government, I cannot see what there is in present law or present custom to permit it as your case does not come within any law I know of, and

while it is true that heretofore pensions to cripples of Civil War Veterans have been obtained your case does not come within either case, but of course, I will investigate further when I return to Washington.

JDC:MLH

Cordially yours,

JOHN D. CLARKE

N. B. Have just received a letter from the War Department in another case in which they state specifically that in as much as the applicant, under the Act of May 1,1[illegible]0 was over the age of 16 years at the time of the soldier's death nothing can be done. JDC.

Fraser, N. Y.
July 30, 1937.

Mr. John Bradley,
Commander, American Legion,
Delhi, N. Y.

Dear John:

As you know Miss Emily Johnson, a daughter of the organizer of the first Company to go from Delaware County in the Civil War, is in serious need of help. Her health is not good, and I am enclosing for your information a copy of a letter I have just written her.

You are a member of the Town Board, please look this up and see if you do not agree with me that the law is mandatory in cases of dependents of Civil War Veterans, and if the steps I am asking Miss Johnson to take are not necessary steps in order to obtain relief. If you agree with me, anything you can do to help in this most worthy case will be appreciated by many friends of Miss Johnson including my own beloved pastor, Rev. F. C. Hotchinson.

JDC:MLH

Cordially yours,

JOHN D CLARK

FRANK L. CUBLEY
ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW
PERT BLOCK
POTSDAM, NEW YORK

May 23, 1927.

Dr. W. C. Robinson,
Delhi, N. Y.

Dear Mr. Robinson:-

Yours of the 21st just received. As you may well know I have never had anything in my whole life which has so completely taken the heart out of me as has the result of this Judgeship matter. After giving unstintingly of my time and energy for upwards of thirty years for the public weal, I can assure you it makes me exceedingly pessimistic and feel that it has all been for nought and that when any compensation is desired, however reasonable, it is refused. I have about made up my mind hereafter to refuse all such further accommodations and devote myself to the practice of my profession and "let the devil take the hindmost".

About the only consolation I have is the loyalty of such friends as yourself. It helps to carry the burden and I can assure you is appreciated.

With personal regards, I remain

Yours very truly,

F. L. Cubley

FLC:B.

FRANK L. CUBLEY
ATTORNEY AND COUNSELOR AT LAW
PERT BLOCK
POTSDAM, NEW YORK

May 13, 1927.

Dr. W. C. Robinson,
Delhi, N. Y.

Dear Mr. Robinson:-

Your very splendid letter just came and I hasten to assure you that I have no knowledge yet of having been appointed. Every one has gone on the theory that my appointment is assured, but the wets are turning themselves inside out to defeat it and so far as I know it is still undecided.

However, I appreciate the good things which you say and the information relative to Mr. Hinman. I certainly am in hopes the matter will be decided one way or another in the course of a few days.

With personal regards to both of you good people, I remain

Yours very truly,

F. L. Cubley.

FLC:B.

P.S. I find nothing will be decided until Sargent returns to Washington.

FRANCIS J. CHELLY
ATTORNEY AND COUNSELLOR AT LAW
PEARL RIVER
ROCKLAND, NEW YORK